Dissociating curiosity-driven exploration algorithms

Interest of curiosity in RL

- Tackling Exploration-Exploitation dilemma.
- Sparse reward problems.
- Emulating human behavior.
- Adaptive and autonomous agents.

Intrinsic motivations

After each transition (s,a,s'), the agent receives an intrinsic reward as:

Novelty:
$$N^{(t)}(s, a, s') = -\log p_N^{(t)}(s')$$
 Frequency of s'
Surprise: $S^{(t)}(s, a, s') = -\log \hat{P}_{s,a}^{(t)}(s')$ Estimated probability of transition

Information gain:
$$I^{(t)}(s, a, s') = KL(\hat{P}_{s,a}^{(t)} | | \hat{P}_{s,a|s_{t+1}=s'}^{(t+1)})$$

Updated estimation

Empowerment:
$$E^{(t)}(s, a, s') = \text{Empowerment}^{(t)}(s')$$

Goals of exploration

- Visit all the states in the least number of steps.
- 2. Have a good model of the environment after *n* steps.
- 3. Visit every state as frequently.



Environment generation

In order to test the agents in diverse scenarios, we design an environment generation process in 3 steps.



Examples of generated environments, varying parameters.





Size of node: number of outgoing edges.



- Some environment regimes can greatly affect the behavior of the agents (stochasticity, source/sink).
- Novelty, surprise and information gain are about as good to reach all states fast.
- Information gain is better to learn a good model of the environment.
- Surprise learns fast but stays in stochastic regions afterwards.
- Novelty is better for spending a uniform amount of time across the states.
- Novelty builds an inaccurate model of the environment when states have numerous actions (sources).
- **Empowerment** is bad for exploring an environment as it tends to stay in empowering regions of the environment.